SPRUCE LAW GROUP, LLC

Todd R. Bartos, Esq. (ID No. 84279) 1622 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone: 267-546-0617 tb@sprucelaw.com

Attorneys for Jeffrey Brydzinski, Tyler Prete, and Rockwell-Glynn, LP

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SIMONA OCKLEY :

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

No. 2:24-cv-04070-JS

VS.

DEFENDANTS ANSWER WITH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

TOWNSHIP OF RADNOR, JENNIFER COCCO, JOSEPH PINTO, BRADY MCHALE, BRIAN BROWN, JEFFREY BRYDZINSKI, TYLER PRETE and ROCKWELL-GLYNN, LP

:

Defendants.

ANSWER WITH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANTS, JEFFREY

BRYDZINSKI, TYLER PRETE and ROCKWELL-GLYNN, LP

TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Defendants JEFFREY BRYDZINSKI, TYLER PRETE and ROCKWELL-GLYNN, LP, by and through their counsel, Spruce Law Group, LLC, submit this Answer with Affirmative Defenses as follows:

1. Denied. This paragraph contains Plaintiff's summary of the case and is denied.

I. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

2. Denied as a conclusion of law.

- 3. Denied as a conclusion of law.
- 4. Denied as a conclusion of law.

II. JURY DEMAND

5. Denied as a conclusion of law.

III. PARTIES

- Denied. Answering Defendants do not know Plaintiff's present residence and do not know her present citizenship status.
- 7. Admitted upon information and belief.
- 8. Admitted upon information and belief.
- 9. Admitted upon information and belief.
- 10. Admitted upon information and belief.
- 11. Admitted that Brydzinski is a citizen of the United States and is available via undersigned counsel.
- 12. Admitted that Prete is a citizen of the United States and is available via undersigned counsel.
- 13. Admitted in part, denied in part. It is admitted that Defendant is a limited partnership.
 The mailing address is located at 1075 Eagle Road, Wayne, PA 19087.
- 14. Denied as a conclusion of law.

IV. <u>FACTS</u>

- 15. Admitted in part, denied in part. It is admitted that Plaintiff resided at the Ithan Avenue address. The remaining averments are denied.
- 16. Admitted in part, denied in part. It is admitted that Plaintiff sold the Ithan Avenue property to Rockwell-Glynn. The remaining averments are denied.

- 17. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 18. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 19. Admitted in part, denied in part. Admitted that a claim was brought and the documents on the public record speak for themselves. The remaining averments are denied.
- 20. Admitted in part, denied in part. Admitted that a claim was brought and the documents on the public record speak for themselves. The remaining averments are denied.
- 21. Admitted in part, denied in part. Admitted that a claim was brought and the documents on the public record speak for themselves. The remaining averments are denied.
- 22. Denied.
- 23. Denied.
- 24. Admitted in part, denied in part. Admitted that a claim was brought and the documents on the public record speak for themselves. The remaining averments are denied.
- 25. Admitted in part, denied in part. Admitted that a claim was brought and the documents on the public record speak for themselves. The remaining averments are denied.
- 26. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.

- 27. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 28. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 29. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 30. Admitted in part, denied in part. Admitted that a claim was brought and the documents on the public record speak for themselves. The remaining averments are denied.
- 31. Admitted in part, denied in part. Admitted that a claim was brought and the documents on the public record speak for themselves. The remaining averments are denied.
- 32. Denied.
- 33. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 34. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 35. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 36. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 37. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.

- 38. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 39. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 40. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 41. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 42. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 43. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 44. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 45. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 46. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 47. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 48. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.

- 49. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 50. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 51. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 52. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 53. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 54. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 55. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 56. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 57. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 58. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 59. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.

- 60. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 61. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 62. Denied as a conclusion of law.
- 63. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 64. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 65. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 66. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 67. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 68. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 69. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the averments in this paragraph and the same are denied.
- 70. Denied.

COUNT I

PLAINTIFF v. COCCO, PINTO AND MCHALE FALSE ARREST/WRONGFUL CIVIL

COMMITMENT UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983

- 71. Answering Defendants repeat the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 72. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 73. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 74. Denied. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 75. Denied. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 76. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 77. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 78. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.

- 79. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 80. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 81. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.

COUNT II

PLAINTIFF V. COCCO

FALSE ARREST UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983

- 82. Answering Defendants repeat the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 83. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 84. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.

- 85. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 86. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 87. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.

COUNT III

PLAINTIFF V. COCCO AND BROWN

FALSE IMPRISONMENT UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983

- 88. Answering Defendants repeat the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 89. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 90. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.

- 91. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 92. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 93. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 94. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 95. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 96. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 97. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.

COUNT IV

PLAINTIFF V. BROWN AND COCCO

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983

- 98. Answering Defendants repeat the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 99. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 100. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 101. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff dismissing all claims with prejudice, together with attorneys' fees and costs of suit and such other further relief that this Court may deem equitable or just.

COUNT V

PLAINTIFF V. RADNOR TOWNSHIP

FAILURE TO TRAIN UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983

102. Answering Defendants repeat the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.

- 103. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 104. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 105. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 106. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 107. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 108. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 109. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.

- 110. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.
- 111. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph refers to Defendants other than Answering Defendants and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the averments are denied.

COUNT VI

PLAINTIFF V. BRYDZINSKI AND PRETE AND ROCKWELL-GLYNN MALICIOUS PROSECUTION UNDER PENNSYLVANIA LAW

- 112. Answering Defendants repeat the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 113. Denied. The averments in this paragraph are denied.
- 114. Denied. The averments in this paragraph are denied.
- 115. Denied. The averments in this paragraph are denied.
- 116. Denied as a conclusion of law.
- 117. Denied as a conclusion of law.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff dismissing all claims with prejudice, together with attorneys' fees and costs of suit and such other further relief that this Court may deem equitable or just.

COUNT VII

PLAINTIFF V. BRYDZINSKI, PRETE AND ROCKWELL GLYNN

CONVERSION UNDER PENNSYLVANIA LAW

- 118. Answering Defendants repeat the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 119. Denied. The averments in this paragraph are denied.
- 120. Denied. The averments in this paragraph are denied.
- 121. Denied as a conclusion of law and generally denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff dismissing all claims with prejudice, together with attorneys' fees and costs of suit and such other further relief that this Court may deem equitable or just.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Answering Defendants repeat the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 2. To the extent Plaintiff's claims are barred and/or limited pursuant to the applicable statute of limitations, Answering Defendants claim same.
- 3. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a cause of action against Answering Defendants.
- 4. Plaintiff's injuries, sufferings and/or damages, if any, were caused by the conduct, negligence, recklessness, criminal and/or other behavior of another/others, over whom Answering Defendants had no control and/or right of control.
- 5. Plaintiff's injuries, sufferings, and/or damages, if any, were caused by her own conduct, negligence, recklessness, criminal or/other behavior and not in any way by the conduct of the Answering Defendants.
- 6. Plaintiff's claims are barred by a pending state law claim.

- 7. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.
- 8. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of laches.
- 9. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of waiver.
- 10. Plaintiff's claims are barred by her failure to mitigate.
- 11. To the extent that actual ownership of the subject premises rests with another, Plaintiff lacks standing to pursue claims against Responding Defendants.
- 12. Plaintiff's claims are barred as Answering Defendants did not supervise, control, train, or otherwise cause the other Defendants to act or fail to act in any manner.
- 13. Plaintiff's claims are barred as Answering Defendants are not vested with prosecutorial power.
- 14. Plaintiff's claims are barred as Answering Defendants acted reasonably and within existing Court orders in all of their conduct as to Plaintiff.
- 15. Plaintiff's claims are barred by lack of malice.
- 16. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of reliance on law enforcement officials acting in their official capacity.
- 17. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of Comparative or Contributory Negligence.
- 18. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of Impossibility.

SPRUCE LAW GROUP, LLC

Date: October 31, 2024

Todd R. Bartos, Esquire Pa. Attorney I.D. No. 84279

Spruce Law Group, LLC

1622 Spruce Street T: (267) 546-0617

F: (267) 564-0570

Philadelphia, PA 19103

tb@sprucelaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants, Jeffrey Brydzinski, Tyler Prete, and Rockwell-Glynn, LP